

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 1608-01  
Bill No.: Perfected HB 568  
Subject: County Government; Property, Real and Personal; Taxation and Revenue -  
Property; Economic Development  
Type: Original  
Date: March 28, 2013

---

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions regarding the collection of  
Neighborhood Improvement District special assessments.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

#### §§ 67.463 and 67.469 - Neighborhood Improvement District Special Assessments:

Officials at the **City of Kansas City** assume the extent of revenue losses to the city is dependent upon the extent to which Jackson County elects to collect or deduct a fee from the special assessment collections.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal is permissive, and for fiscal note purposes, will assume no direct fiscal impact on the City of Kansas City, St. Louis City, and Jackson County.

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development, Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration, Office of the Attorney General, State Treasurer's Office, Missouri Tax Commission, City of Columbia, and St. Louis County** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to similar legislation from 2013 (SB 138), officials from the **Department of Transportation, Department of Natural Resources, and Department of Revenue** each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from numerous cities and counties did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Economic Development  
Department of Transportation  
State Treasurer's Office  
Office of the Attorney General  
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration  
Department of Revenue  
Department of Natural Resources  
Missouri Tax Commission  
City of Kansas City  
St. Louis County  
City of Columbia

**Not Responding:**

Numerous Cities  
Numerous Counties



Ross Strope  
Acting Director  
March 28, 2013